

Comment on “Singularities in axially symmetric solutions of Einstein-Yang Mills and related theories, by Ludger Hannibal, [hep-th/9903063]”

Burkhard Kleihaus

NUIM, Department of Mathematical Physics, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland

and

Jutta Kunz

Fachbereich Physik, Universität Oldenburg, Postfach 2503, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
(February 1, 2008)

We point out that the statements in [hep-th/9903063] concerning the regularity of static axially symmetric solutions in Yang-Mills-dilaton (YMD) [1] and Einstein-Yang-Mills(-dilaton) (EYMD) theory [2,3] are incorrect, and that the non-singular local gauge potential of the YMD solutions [4] is twice differentiable.

We have constructed numerically static axially symmetric solutions in Yang-Mills-dilaton (YMD) [1] and Einstein-Yang-Mills-dilaton (EYMD) theory [2,3], employing a singular form of the gauge potential. For the solutions of YMD theory we have recently demonstrated explicitly, that the singular gauge potential can be locally gauge transformed into a well defined gauge potential [4].

After that, Hannibal has claimed in his paper [5], “We show that the solutions of $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills-dilaton and Einstein-Yang-Mills-dilaton theories described in a sequence of papers by Kleihaus and Kunz are not regular in the gauge field part” (*). Here we comment on this paper [5] only as far as our work [1–4] is concerned.

In [5] static axially symmetric Ansätze for the $SU(2)$ gauge potential [6,1–3] have been considered and regularity conditions for the gauge field functions parameterizing the Ansatz [3] have been derived [7]. Comparing the properties of the gauge field functions employed in [1–3] to these regularity conditions, it was then concluded in [5], that “the solutions constructed by Kleihaus and Kunz [1–3] do not have the regular form”.

While the solutions constructed numerically in [1–3] are presented within a singular form of the gauge potential in the sense, that the gauge potential is not well defined on the z -axis and at the origin [4], this, however, only means that regularity of the solutions is not guaranteed a priori. It does not mean, that the solutions are not regular.

Since the gauge potential transforms under gauge transformations, a regular gauge potential $\hat{A}_\mu$ can be gauge transformed into a singular gauge potential A_μ by a singular gauge transformation. Both gauge potentials would describe the same physical solution. From the observation that the gauge potential A_μ is in a singular form, one could neither conclude that there is no regular gauge potential $\hat{A}_\mu$ nor, in particular, that the physical solution is not regular. Therefore, the claim (*) in the abstract of Hannibals paper [5] is not correct and misleading.

Hannibal [5] has then considered the gauge transformation [4], which transforms locally the singular gauge potential of YMD solutions [1] into a non-singular gauge potential. He recognizes, that the transformed gauge potential is continuous at $\theta = 0$ (i. e. at $x = y = 0$), but he claims that “the potentials are still possibly not differentiable at $\theta = 0$ ”.

However, it is a trivial task to check that the transformed gauge potential [4] is differentiable. For instance for winding number $n = 2$ and $z > 0$, the non-singular gauge potential is given explicitly in [4] in Cartesian coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_x &= -\frac{x}{12r^4} \left[r\partial_r \tilde{H}_{12} - 3\tilde{H}_{12} \right] \rho^2 \tau_\varphi^2 - \frac{y}{6r^4} \tilde{H}_{43} \rho^2 \tau_\rho^2 + \frac{y}{2r^2} [f^2 + 2g - 1] \tau_3, \\ \hat{A}_y &= -\frac{y}{12r^4} \left[r\partial_r \tilde{H}_{12} - 3\tilde{H}_{12} \right] \rho^2 \tau_\varphi^2 + \frac{x}{6r^4} \tilde{H}_{43} \rho^2 \tau_\rho^2 - \frac{x}{2r^2} [f^2 + 2g - 1] \tau_3, \\ \hat{A}_z &= \frac{1}{4r^3} \tilde{H}_{12} \rho^2 \tau_\varphi^2,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

expanded to lowest order in the variable $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Using $\rho^2 \tau_\varphi^2 = -2xy\tau_1 + (x^2 - y^2)\tau_2$ and $\rho^2 \tau_\rho^2 = (x^2 - y^2)\tau_1 + 2xy\tau_2$, one sees immediately, that the gauge potential (1) is differentiable at $x = y = 0$.¹

¹ Note, that the functions $\tilde{H}_{12}, \tilde{H}_{43}, f, g$ [4] and their derivatives are bounded functions of $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. The function

In addition it is evident, that the gauge potential is twice differentiable at $x = y = 0$, provided one can show that no functions like ρx , ρy arise from the next to leading order terms. But this is straightforward, since it concerns only the function $\hat{F}_4$, multiplying the matrix τ_3 , see [4]. Observing from [4], that the functions H_3 and $\Gamma_{(z)}^{(n)}$ are odd in θ up to order θ^3 (inclusively) while the function H_4 is even in θ up to order θ^2 , it is easy to see, that the function $\hat{F}_4$ is odd in θ up to order θ^3 . Thus the next to leading order term vanishes, indeed. At the origin a similar consideration shows that the next to leading order terms only contribute functions which are twice differentiable at the origin. Consequently, the gauge transformed gauge potential is twice differentiable, even in the lowest order of the expansion [4].

Nevertheless, we have carried out the expansion near the positive z -axis to the next order and have found that the next to leading order terms vanish for all gauge field functions for the YMD solutions [1] with winding number $n = 2, 3$ [8].

To conclude, it has not been shown in [5] that the static axially symmetric solutions constructed numerically in [1–3] are not regular. Furthermore, the non-singular gauge potentials obtained to lowest order in [4] are continuous, differentiable and twice differentiable at $x = y = 0$ and at the origin. Consequently, the singular gauge potential of the solutions obtained in [1] can locally be gauge transformed into regular form.

-
- [1] B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Axially symmetric multisphalerons in Yang-Mills-dilaton theory, Phys. Lett. **B392** (1997) 135.
 - [2] B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Static axially symmetric solutions of Einstein-Yang-Mills-dilaton theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 2527;
 B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Static axially symmetric Einstein-Yang-Mills-dilaton solutions: Regular solutions, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 834;
 B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Static axially symmetric Einstein-Yang-Mills-dilaton solutions. II. Black hole solutions, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 6138.
 - [3] B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Static black hole solutions with axial symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1595.
 - [4] B. Kleihaus, On the regularity of static axially symmetric solutions in $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills-dilaton theory, preprint hep-th/9901096, to be published in Phys. Rev. D.
 - [5] L. Hannibal, Singularities in axially symmetric solutions of Einstein-Yang-Mills and related theories, preprint hep-th/9903063.
 - [6] C. Rebbi and P. Rossi, Multimonopole solutions in the Prasad-Sommerfield limit, Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 2010.
 - [7] This has been done before [6] for the original parameterization of the Ansatz, leading to the same result.
 - [8] To be published elsewhere.

f used here and in [4] should not be confused with the function f in [5].